

No. F.12015/149/2013-RTI
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA (BHARAT SARKAR)
CABINET SECRETARIAT (MANTRIMANDAL SACHIVALAYA)
RASHTRAPATI BHAWAN

New Delhi – 110 004, the 1st May, 2013

✓ Ms. Qaneez-e-Fatemah Sukhrani,
A/3 Hemes Drome I,
211/3/1B Viman Nagar,
Pune – 411 014, Maharashtra

FACTS OF THE CASE

Ms. Qaneez-e-Fatemah Sukhrani vide application dated 12.03.2013 (received on 15.03.2013) has requested to provide the following information (i) Copy of all orders, Notifications & Government Resolution received by the Cabinet Secretariat for and prior implementation of UIDAI (ii) Copy of the Government order whereby the UIDAI has been given statutory authority (iii) List of Ministries and Departments that the Cabinet Secretariat has sent/ liaised sending of above noted orders, notifications and Government resolutions after receipt and (iv) Copy of transcript of the oath taken by Mr. Nandan Nilekani for induction at Cabinet level to head the Unique Identification Authority of India. .

2. The CPIO vide his letter dated 10.04.2013 intimated the Applicant that Planning Commission is the nodal office under which the UIDAI is functioning. Therefore, the RTI application is being transferred to the Planning Commission in terms of Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

APPEAL

3. Ms. Qaneez-e-Fatemah Sukhrani vide her letter dated 24.04.2013 (received on 29.04.2013) has filed an Appeal against the decision of the CPIO on the ground that the information which she sought related to the Cabinet Secretariat and her RTI Appeal should not have been transferred to Planning Commission since a copy of Notification No. A-43011/02/2009-admn.I dated 28.01.2009 was sent to Cabinet Secretariat.

PREAMBLE TO THE DECISION

4. The CPIO, Cabinet Secretariat had stated that the Planning Commission is the nodal office under which the UIDAI is functioning.



Therefore, the RTI application of Ms. Sukhrani is being transferred to the Planning Commission in terms of Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. The information sought by the Appellant on points (i) and (iii) in the original application was not specific and general in nature. Further, information relating to point No. (ii) and (iv) is relevant to the business allocated to the UIDAI, Planning Commission. Therefore, the application has been rightly forwarded to Planning Commission which is the nodal office under which UIDAI is functioning.

5. In his appeal, the Appellant has referred to Notification No. A-43011/02/2009 admn. I dated 28.01.2009 in letter dated 24.04.2013, which did not find any specific mention in the original application, it is informed that the said Notification was also issued by Planning Commission and no action was required on the same by Cabinet Secretariat.


6. Further, reasons for the Applicant to be dissatisfied with the reply of the CPIO have not been elaborated.

DECISION

7. After carefully considering all relevant facts, documents and the position stated above, I find no merits in the present Appeal and no further directions are required to be given to the CPIO.

8. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Yours faithfully,


(Ms. S. Ahladini Panda)
Deputy Secretary and CPIO
Tele No. 23792357

