


QANEEZ-E-FATEMAH SUKHRANI
A/3 HERMES DROME, 211/3/1B VIMAN NAGAR, PUNE 411 014
CELL # 9822056782

By Registered A/D
RTI Matter

9th July 2013

To:
First Appellate Authority,
Directorate of Information Technology,
7th floor, Mantralaya,
Madame Cama Marg,
Hutatma Rajguru Chowk,
Mumbai 400 032



Sub : Appeal wrt reply ref.no. MTS 7616 / Sandarbh 82966/39/72 dated 04.07.2013

Dear Sir,

With reference to my RTI application dated 11.03.2013 addressed to UIDAI which has been transferred to Directorate of Information Technology.

Firstly I request you to compare my RTI application with the reply given by Dr. Santosh Bhogle to check if you would accept the replies if you had asked them.

Following is incomplete and hence unacceptable.

1. Reply to my Q.31 – Such a brief SOPs is not acceptable for handling such sensitive and private data. Hence, require the copy of the SOPs
2. Reply to my Q.34 – Reply does not compare to my query, so require the correct and complete reply
3. Reply to my Q.35 – Again reply is not acceptable as I had asked for details of public notices released after EA was suspended, so applicants could re-enrol. Whereas your office has given me copies of advertisement released for fresh enrolments

I will wait for your reply within 15th July 2013 since it has overshoot the stipulated time.

Thank you,

Yours faithfully


Qaneez-e-Fatemah Sukhrani

BLAD VIMANAGAR S.O <411014>
A RPI298026566IN
Counter No:1, CF-Code:USER
To:FIRST APPELLATE,AUTHORITY
MUMBAI, PIN:400032

Wt:10grams, _____
Amt:25.00 , 07/07/2013 , 10:28
<<Have a nice day>>

